

**Reviewing OSC:** 

# 15<sup>th</sup> January 2024 CABINET REPORT Subject Heading: Housing Allocation Scheme (2024) **Cabinet Member:** Councillor Keith Darvill, Lead Member for Housing SLT Lead: Patrick Odling-Smee, Director, Living Well Report authors and contact details: Darren Alexander Darren.Alexander@havering.gov.uk Kwabena Obiri Kwabena.obiri@havering.gov.uk Charlotte Byford charlotte.byford@havering.gov.uk **Policy context:** (a) This is a comprehensive revision of the Council's Housing Allocation Scheme (2024) to ensure that all available Council housing is allocated consistent, fair and in compliance with all current legislation and regulatory requirements. **Financial summary:** It is anticipated that the implementation of this scheme may involve minor financial implications. Is this a Key Decision? Yes. It will significantly affect all wards in Havering. When should this matter be reviewed? Two years from implementation.

**SUMMARY** 

People.

- 1.0 The Housing Act 1996 Part VI requires local authorities to publish an allocation scheme that sets out their policy for allocating affordable housing provided by the council or housing associations in the borough. Councils are required to give reasonable preference to certain categories of applicant and have due regard to statutory guidance and the law.
- 1.1 Demand for social housing in Havering significantly exceeds the number of properties available. In the financial year 2022-23, only one in every 5 households on the Council's Housing Register had a realistic prospect of securing social housing.
- 1.2 This scheme (please see appendix 1) proposes a number of revisions to the current Scheme (dated 2021) to set out how Council housing is prioritised to ensure that it is fairly allocated to households in the greatest need.
- 1.3 It also sets out how the Council will enable access to other forms of affordable housing, such as shared ownership and intermediate rented housing.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

2.0 To approve the Housing Allocation Scheme 2024 attached in Appendix 1, and delegate the implementation date to the Director Living Well in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Climate Change and Housing Demand.

## REPORT DETAIL

- 2.1 Following a review of the current housing allocation scheme, approved in 2021, the proposed Scheme, attached in appendix one was subject to statutory public consultation between September and November 2023. The outcome from the public consultation is attached as appendix 2.
- 2.2 The key changes to the Scheme are as follows:
- 2.3 Housing Register Qualification Criteria
  - Reduction in residency criteria
    It is proposed that the existing six year continuous residency criteria is reduced to three out of six year criterion.
  - Income cap

No threshold, save when it is apparent applicant has transferred funds to qualify for housing – the current threshold of £36,000 per annum for singles/couples and £50,000 per annum for families is applicable however as the assessment is now related to housing need, an income cap is no longer required.

## 2.3 Removal of Housing Banding structure to Points Based System

- It is proposed that the existing banding structure is replaced with a points based-system that is primarily related to housing need. This does however give additional points for time waiting on the housing register.
- The point based system is categorised under eight headings that allow applicants to accumulate points relative to their housing needs.

## 2.4 Removal of Community Contribution Band

- It is proposed that the community contribution band will be removed, however, existing applicants who were previously placed under the Community Contribution banding will not lose priority and instead their respective housing needs will be reflected in the new points based system as well as retention of their effective date.
- The full new point structure is set out in the table below.
- 2.5 Integration of the Opportunities Register into the main housing register to simplify the application process for residents.

	Points award (weighting)	Housing Register	Existing Social Housing Tenants	Accepted Homeless Households
A. LOCAL CONNECTION				
Time waiting points for each year on the Housing Register	10	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>
B. HOMELESSNESS				
Homeless – owed a full housing duty	75			<b> </b> ✓
Homeless – Relief Duty	50			<b>✓</b>
Threatened with Homelessness - (Prevention)	25			<b>✓</b>
C. INSANITARY, OVERCROWDED & UNSATISFACTORY CONDITIONS				
Lacking - each bedroom	20	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Lacking facilities (bathroom, kitchen, or WC)	25	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
Sharing facilities (bathroom, kitchen, or WC)	10	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Lacking cold or hot water supplies, power or heating	30	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
HHSRS Cat 1 Hazard (disrepair)	40	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
D. WELFARE / HARDSHIP				
Welfare points	30	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Move-on from supported housing projects	60	<b>✓</b>		
Move-on from care	60	<b>✓</b>		
Fostering & Adoption	35	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Hardship	20	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Social Tenant "Right to Move"	10	<b>√</b>		
Key workers	30	<b>✓</b>		
E. MEDICAL				
High Medical Need to Move	75	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Medium Medical Need to Move	50	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Low Medical Need to Move	25	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
F. MANAGEMENT TRANSFERS				
Social tenants under-occupying current home by one bedroom	45		<b>√</b>	
Social tenants under-occupying their current home by two or more bedrooms	90		✓	
Decants	80		<b>√</b>	
Management Transfers – Immediate threat to welfare / life	150		<b>√</b>	
Management Transfers - "Best Use of Stock" / Other urgent	80		./	
need to move			<b>V</b>	
G. SHELTERED HOUSING			,	
Eligible for Sheltered Housing only	10	✓	✓	
H. ARMED FORCES REGULATIONS 2012				
Eligible under Armed Forces Regulations 2012 *	60	✓		

# **REASONS AND OPTIONS**

3.0 The recommendations throughout this report have been made so that the Council's Housing Register more accurately reflects the level of housing need in the borough, ensuring that those with the greatest need continue to be prioritised.

3.1 Table 1 shows the number of successful allocations for each band, under the current scheme, for the last four years:

Table 1

Financial Year	ER	CC1	CC2	н	RP	Total
19/20	203	171	30	48	3	455
20/21	103	242	71	130	15	561
21/22	170	144	47	126	7	494
22/23 (Apr-Aug)	50	41	17	38	5	151

Table 2

Current Scheme	1	2a	2b	2c	3	Total
22/23 (Aug-Mar)	152	99	7	13	76	347
22/23 (Total)	202	140	24	51	81	498
Total	678	697	172	355	106	2008
% of allocations	33.8	34.7	8.6	17.7	5.2	100

#### 3.2 Reasons for the decision:

- 3.3 The current allocation scheme is not serving its primary purpose of supporting applicants most in need and given the prevailing housing climate, it is incumbent upon the local authority to allocate its scarce resources in the right way and meet our legal obligations.
- 3.4 The existing scheme awards a "community contribution" priority to households who were, for example in employment; they were prioritised as band 2. Giving applicants additional priority for working does not reflect their housing need and therefore households who were in less severe housing need were prioritised over those in severe housing need. This is particularly so for overcrowded households.
- 3.5 Table 2 shows that applicants in the current Community Contribution 1 and 2 (CC1-CC2a-c) bands make up the majority of allocations, accounting for 1249 (61%) of total allocations. Applicants in employment Band 2a benefited most from the scheme accounting for 343 (17 %) of total allocations from the housing register.

- 3.6 Comparatively, applicants unable to work on medical grounds fair less well in securing social housing with only 82 (4%) of applicants successfully finding social housing. The proposed scheme will support the council's commitment to allocate its scarce resources in the right way and meet our legal obligations.
- 3.7 The residency criteria in the current scheme is based on a continuous residency for six years. This had been challenged legally and as a result, a series of exemptions introduced to comply with the law. In total there were 10 exemptions which rendered the residency criteria impractical and incoherent.
- 3.8 The ordering of households according to date of application does not reflect the priority of their housing need. Many households do not apply on the housing register because they are not aware of process and therefore they are given a lower priority even though they may be living in extremely poor quality accommodation.
- 3.9 The 2021 scheme introduced an Opportunities Register which recorded the housing needs and preferences for those households who would not able to be housed through the housing register itself so they could be offered other housing choices. This has proved very successful particularly at marketing shared ownership properties at New Green and St Georges developments. It is the intention to make the application process simpler by integrating the Opportunities Register into the main housing register.
- **3.10** The Housing Act 1996 Part VI requires significant changes to the Housing Allocation Scheme to be consulted on with stakeholders and housing applicants.
- **3.11** Consultation with affected parties is essential to good practice. In terms of administrative law, 'consultation' has a specific meaning and should be proportionate, fair, and inclusive.
- 3.12 Following the authorisation of this draft Scheme, a formal consultation was conducted in the autumn of 2023 it opened on the 7 September 2023 and closed on the 30 November 2023. The consultation was based on a proposed 3 out of 6 year residency criteria, implementing a points based system, and removal of community contribution award both of which have subsequently changed. The consultation consisted of:
  - The draft Scheme and public consultation questionnaire published on the Council's consultation portal;
  - The questionnaire being sent to all key stakeholders, including housing associations, neighbouring boroughs and voluntary sector organisations.
- **3.13** 99 people responded to the Public Consultation. Their feedback is detailed in appendix 2, with recommendations for the adoption of the Housing Allocation Scheme (2024).

#### **3.14** The key issues raised in the consultation were:

- Concerns that reducing the residency criteria to 3 out of 6 years would limit opportunities for local residents to successfully bid on social housing and encourage inward migration. However no evidence was provided to support this and the current restriction excludes many local people who have lived for short periods in the other neighbouring boroughs. The current scheme has a series of exemptions that apply to a significant proportion of application, thereby making it meaningless. The proposal is a more transparent way to set out the residency criteria.
- Concerns were also raised about proposed removal of the community contribution banding with a majority of respondents opposed, viewing the community contribution as a positive part of the current scheme. This did not however demonstrate that there was opposition to the housing needs based assessment, which an employment criteria clearly contradicts.
- The management of the points based system to ensure transparency and fairness. The proposed changes had a mixed reception with an equal number of respondents in favour than against. Once implemented the proposed scheme will be reviewed to ensure that the benefits of the points scheme, in terms of transparency and meeting housing needs, have been achieved.

#### 3.15 Implementation of the new scheme

The implementation of the new scheme requires formal notification to be sent to all the applicants currently on the housing register, the housing computer system changed to reflect the new scheme, change and transition to a points based system and on-line application form to be amended to reflect the changes.

A project team has been established to implement these changes and it is anticipated that six months will be required from the date of decision before the new scheme "goes live".

## 3.10 Other options considered:

Amending the current scheme to remove the community contribution and clarifying the residency criteria.

This is not recommended as the principle of prioritising applicants based on housing need would not have been achieved.

#### **IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS**

## Financial implications and risks:

The implementation of this Scheme has minimal financial implications. However, there could be legal fees incurred in defending challenges against this Scheme. These costs would be met from Housing Revenue Account budgets.

#### Legal implications and risks:

i. Pursuant to Section 166A of the Housing Act 1996, every local authority must have an allocation scheme and must not allocate housing accommodation except on accordance with the allocation scheme. The allocation scheme must include the procedure for allocation of accommodation as well as the persons or description of persons by whom decisions are made.

## ii. Public Sector Equalities Duty

The proposed changes relating to residency requirements, income threshold and points system are changes that are open to the Council to make. In deciding whether to implement the proposed changes, the Council must have regard to an Equality Impact Assessment and consider the impact each of the proposed changes would have on persons with protected characteristics with a view to eliminating any potential unlawful discrimination. Where potentially negative consequences of the Scheme change have been identified, effective mitigation should be put in place to reduce the impact. Further the scheme now including those who have a reasonable preference as being exempt from residency requirements and being able to access the scheme has been stated in the EQHIA.

The relevant legal framework for this exercise is set out in Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 and known as the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED).

## iii. Reasonable preference groups

It is a legal requirement under Section 166 A (3) of the Housing Act 1996 to ensure that 'reasonable preference' is given to persons falling within that section.

This section includes those who are homeless within the meaning of Part 7 of the Housing Act 1996, and not just those who are owed the full housing duty. Established case law supports the position that an allocation scheme should not seek to exclude groups of people within the reasonable preference categories.

The revised Scheme excepts those within reasonable preference groups from the 3 out of 6 year residency period, allowing these individuals to access the housing register. Recent case law in the Administrative Court has also confirmed that "the legislation does not prohibit a disqualification criterion that affects some persons falling within a reasonable preference category, provided that as a whole the scheme does give reasonable preference to that category of persons". As such the Scheme as proposed meets the statutory requirements around reasonable preference criteria, and the latest line of case law. It is noted that this is a fruitful area of legal challenge and so decisions in the Courts will need to be monitored to ensure that the Council's Scheme remains in line. This can be done through the periodic review of the Scheme.

iv. Consultation, legitimate expectation and due regard to existing policies.

When considering the proposed changes, the Council is required to have regard to its internal policies, including the Homelessness Strategy and Tenancy Strategy. The Council is also required to have regard to the London Housing Strategy. The legal framework for this requirement is outlined below.

Section 166 A (12) for the Housing Act 1996 provides that:-

A local housing authority in England must, in preparing or modifying their allocation scheme, have regard to—

- (a) their current homelessness strategy under section 1 of the Homelessness Act 2002,
- (b) their current tenancy strategy under section 150 of the Localism Act 2011, and
- (c) in the case of an authority that is a London borough council, the London housing strategy.

Prior to making the proposed changes, the council should consult with stakeholder and those who could be affected by the proposed changes. Additionally, the Council has a statutory duty to consult with every private registered provider of social housing and registered social landlord as well as its secure tenants. The form of consultation should be inclusive and robust to ensure that the duty to consult is satisfied.

The relevant statutory framework is outlined below:

Section 166 A (13) of the Housing Act 1996.

Before adopting an allocation scheme, or making an alteration to their scheme reflecting a major change of Scheme, a local housing authority in England must—

(a) send a copy of the draft scheme, or proposed alteration, to every private registered provider of social housing and registered social landlord with which they have nomination arrangements (see section 159(4)), and

- (b) afford those persons a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposals.
- (14)A local housing authority in England shall not allocate housing accommodation except in accordance with their allocation scheme.

Section 105 of the Housing Act 1985

Consultation on matters of housing management.

- (1) A landlord authority shall maintain such arrangements as it considers appropriate to enable those of its secure tenants who are likely to be substantially affected by a matter of housing management to which this section applies—
- (a) to be informed of the authority's proposals in respect of the matter, and
- (b) to make their views known to the authority within a specified period; and the authority shall, before making any decision on the matter, consider any representations made to it in accordance with those arrangements

In addition to the above, the Council required to consult with those who would reasonably expect to be consulted. The Council should check and ensure that all those who were consulted when the 2016 allocation scheme was implemented (or when any previous amendments to the council's allocation scheme were made) are consulted also.

Prior to presenting this Scheme, extensive consultation in accordance with the statutory requirements has been carried out and the results of this exercise are set out in Appendix 2. The Council is required to take into consideration all of the information received from the consultation exercise when deciding whether to implement the proposed changes and in this case the result of the consultation have led to the proposed 10 year residency requirement having been kept at 6 years per the existing policy, with the relevant statutory and required exemptions to that residency requirement.

v. Part 6 Final Offer to Part 7 homeless applicants

Where an offer of accommodation under the Council's allocation scheme is made on the basis that a refusal would end the duties under homelessness legislation, the council must comply with the requirements of section 193 of the Housing Act 1996 which outlines the format for such an offer. The process regarding making an offer of accommodation is appropriately dealt with in Part 4 of the proposed Scheme, with the appeals process also detailed at Part 5.

#### **Human Resources implications and risks:**

The recommendations made in this report do not give rise to any identifiable HR risks or implications that would affect either the Council or its workforce.

## **Equalities Health and Wellbeing implications and Risks**

It is anticipated that the implementation of this Scheme will generate positive health and wellbeing benefits to directly affected residents. Significant decisions entailed in implementing aspects of the Scheme will be informed by the accompanying Equality and Health Impact Assessment (EqHIA - please see appendix 3) which will serve to identify opportunities to maximise health benefits and mitigate potential harms arising from the specific actions proposed.

#### **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

**APPENDIX 1:** Housing Allocation Scheme (2024)

**APPENDIX 2:** Public Consultation report – Feedback and raw data

APPENDIX 3: Equalities and Health Impact Assessment